

### REMARKS

Claims 2-3, 7-8, 20-31, 34-35, 40-42, and 45 have been cancelled without prejudice to the filing of continuing applications. Claims 1, 33, and 36 have been amended. The amendments are fully supported by the specification as filed. In particular, the amendments in claim 1 to the definition of W are supported by the specification at page 12, line 30, to page 13, line 14. The definitions of X and R<sub>6</sub> in claim 1 are supported by the disclosure on pages 4-7 and the examples set forth on pages 26-50. Thus, no new matter is added herein.

Applicants respectfully submit that the amendments to the claims overcome the rejections under the first and second paragraphs of § 112 and the rejection under § 101. The claims are clear; those skilled in the art would certainly understand what is being claimed. In addition, those skilled in the art would understand how to make and use the claimed subject matter.

With respect to the rejection of claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b), since the compound of Burkhardt (DE 829894, abstract) includes an amino substituent on the alkyl of R<sub>6</sub>, that reference does not anticipate the claims as amended.

Applicants note that claims 43 and 44 have been retained. Applicants submit that these claims properly fit within the elected group (Group I) and should be rejoined therewith.


Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of all the rejections made in the Action.

Should the Examiner believe that a discussion of this matter would be helpful, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at (312) 913-0001.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: January 6, 2005

By:

  
Bradley W. Crawford  
Reg. No. 50,494

McDonnell Boehnen  
Hulbert & Berghoff LLP  
300 South Wacker Drive  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
(312) 913-0001